

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****FEB 15 2006****RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP 1712****S/N 10/688,618****PATENT****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant:	MASANORI SHINOZAKI	Examiner:	K. L. PENG
Serial No.:	10/688,618	Group Art Unit:	1712
Filed:	10/17/2003	Docket No.:	12844.0048US01
Confirmation No.:	2442		
Title:	SOLID LUBRICANT AND SLIDING MEMBERS		

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.6(d)

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on February 15, 2006.

By: 

Name: Lisa R. Hill

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Mail Stop: AF
Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action mailed October 18, 2005, Applicant wishes to offer the following remarks for Examiner's consideration for the purpose of clarifying the Rice Bran Ceramic dispersion composition claimed as distinguished from the Rice Bran (non-ceramic) prior art cited by Examiner. No new issues are raised hereby with the present remarks, and the remarks are only intended to direct Examiner's attention to the differences of the prior art Japanese patents from the claimed invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by JP 11-207,757. Claims 2-3 are rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over JP '757. Applicant traverses the rejections to the extent that they can be maintained. Specifically, Applicant traverses that portion